Computerized Analysis of Digital Photographs for Evaluation of Tooth Movement
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Abstract
Objectives: Various methods have been introduced for evaluation of tooth movement in orthodontics. The challenge is to adopt the most accurate and most beneficial method for patients. This study was designed to introduce analysis of digital photographs with AutoCAD software as a method to evaluate tooth movement and assess the reliability of this method.

Materials and Methods: Eighteen patients were evaluated in this study. Three intraoral digital images from the buccal view were captured from each patient with 30-minute intervals. All the photos were sent to AutoCAD software 2011, calibrated and the distance between the canine and molar hooks was measured. The data were analyzed using intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC).

Results: Photographs were found to have high reliability coefficient (P>0.05).

Conclusion: The introduced method is an accurate, efficient and reliable method for evaluation of tooth movement.
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INTRODUCTION
Canine retraction is a critical step following the extraction of first premolar teeth for orthodontic purposes. Rate of canine retraction, dental tipping and anchorage loss during tooth movement needs to be evaluated. The most efficient method must be adopted in clinical practice with maximum benefit for the patient. Some methods have been introduced for evaluation of the rate of tooth movement, dental tipping and anchorage loss in different studies. Almost all previous studies have used radiographs such as panoramic view [1], lateral cephalometric view [2,3] or intraoral radiography [4] for evaluation of canine angulation. For measurement of the amount of space closure and evaluation of tooth movement, some researchers used dental casts or preferred photographs of dental casts [2,4-6]. Lateral cephalometric view has also been used to measure the amount of canine retraction [3]. For measurement of the amount of anchorage loss, some others used dental casts [1] or the photocopies [7,8]. In more recent studies, we can see the application of intraoral scanner and software programs such as OrthoCAD for cast analysis and detecting Bolton discrepancy [9,10].
The purpose of this study was to detect the reliability of the photographic measurement with the AutoCAD software for evaluating canine retraction and suggesting a formula for measuring the amount of canine retraction and anchorage loss with lower risk for patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Eighteen orthodontic patients referred to an orthodontic clinic under the care of one clinician (M. K) were evaluated. All patients were 13-18 years of age including 10 females and eight males. They had class I malocclusion with the treatment plan of first premolar extraction. The patients were selected using simple random sampling. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients or their parents. All patients had pre-adjusted edgewise appliance (Roth prescription, Dentaurum GmbH & Co. KG Ispringen, Germany). Leveling and aligning was completed in all patients and a passive (0.019×0.025) stainless steel (SS) preformed archwire (G&H Wire Co., Franklin, IN, USA) was in place for one month [1]. Three intraoral mirror image photographs of the right and left upper and lower buccal sides (a total of 72) were taken from each patient. The photos were taken with the teeth in occlusion.

Note that we must have the distal end of the tube fully in our field of view. The interval between three photos was 30 minutes. All the photos were taken by a single operator based on the ABO instructions with a macro lens: 105, diaphragm: 32, speed: 60, field depth: infinite, and the distance from camera lens to the mirror: 20-25cm recorded individually for each patient (Dental eye camera, Canon Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

The lengths of the auxiliary tubes of the upper and lower molars were measured by a digital caliper (Mitutoyo, Nakagawa, Japan). The photo files were sent to AutoCAD 2010 software. Each photo was calibrated first by the true length of maxillary molar auxiliary tube with the "Align" order; then checked by measuring the length of lower molar auxiliary tube for accuracy.

Three lines were drawn tangential to the mesial aspects of hooks on molar tube (M) and canine bracket (C). Another line is drawn from the end of the wire from distal of the molar tube and perpendicular to the archwire (E). All the lines had three-millimeter distance from beneath the archwire. The distance between the M and C lines was measured in all photos. The ICC was used to analyze the data with SPSS 17 (Microsoft, IL, USA).
RESULTS
The mean and standard deviation values at the three time points are shown in Table 1. A high reliability coefficient of three items (ICC=0.999, P=0.009) was detected among the three photographs taken with 30-minute intervals.

This method is a reliable and reproducible method for evaluation of tooth movement. As seen in Figure 1, when B is the distance between C and M line and C is the distance between M and E lines, B' and C' are the distances when the teeth have been moved. For measuring the amount of anchorage loss and canine retraction the following formulae can be used:

Anchorage loss = C'-C
Canine retraction = B- B'-(C'-C)

DISCUSSION
In almost all studies, dental casts, their photo prints [1,2,4,5,7,8,11] and radiographs such as panoramic view, lateral cephalometry, intraoral periapical or submentovertex radiographs [1-4] are taken to evaluate tooth movement. The accuracy of the current digital photographic assessments is assumed to be about 0.006 mm; whereas, the dimensional accuracy of laser scanned digital models has reported to be 0.05 mm [12]. In some other studies, the authors compared digital models with plaster ones [12,13,5]. Although the newly introduced technology of digital model has shown to be reliable, it does not seem to be economic for evaluation of tooth movement as no study is in this field. In this investigation, we decided to introduce analysis of intraoral photographs with AutoCAD software, which can be beneficial for the clinicians in the following fields:

(A) having patient records instantly accessible in contrast to retrieving the plaster models from the storage; (B) a reliable, accurate and easy measurement; (C) their comfortable storage, not occupying space; (D) easy sharing for research purposes or consultation with a restorative dentist, periodontist or another orthodontist and (E) no need for archwire removal; thus, it saves time and prevents distortion of wire. All the previously used techniques have some advantages and significant disadvantages. The advantages of cast analysis include the accessibility and simplicity of the measurement of these records. But there is a need to remove the archwire at each visit [14], taking an impression which is annoying for the patients and elaborate pouring and cast fabrication. In addition, the probability of tooth movement, even within the limits of periodontal ligament, can be expected when taking the impression.

Although lateral cephalograms are advantageous for evaluation of the relationship of the teeth to the underlying skeletal tissue [3], some disadvantages have been reported. One of them is the effective radiation dose, which is 2.4-6.2 μSv for a cephalometric radiograph [15]. It is well known that X-ray can disrupt cell mitosis and cause DNA damage. This can lead to somatic or genetic mutations [13]. The two other disadvantages include: irradiation cost and magnification of different anatomic sites. All these issues encouraged us not to use this technique. Given that the patient and film position as well as the type of cephalometric unit are the same, the magnification of a single lateral cephalogram is 0-24% in different areas [16]. On the other hand, the most significant error in cephalometry is wrong localization of the landmarks.

Table 1. The mean and standard deviation values at the three time points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time points</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>21.4276</td>
<td>1.0918</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>21.4232</td>
<td>1.0917</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>21.4213</td>
<td>1.0951</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In using panoramic radiography, it is particularly important to center the object directly within the focal trough to prevent differences in size, form and location of structures. The most susceptible areas to false interpretation of root alignment are the areas between the canine and premolar teeth of the upper and lower jaws, which we need more for canine retraction [17]. The disadvantages of these techniques may force the clinician to record the events just at the first visit and at the end of space closure [14,18], missing the fluctuations in tooth movement during retraction.

Analyzing the photographs with the AutoCAD software enables the clinicians to calibrate the photos and achieve the true measures. Thus, magnification is not an issue. The distances and degrees can be recorded as accurate as wished. In this study, we adjusted the accuracy on 0.01 mm, which was adequate for our measurements. Higher accuracy does not affect the clinical judgment. We selected the line with higher reproducibility. For example, we did not use the C line at mesial or distal sides of the bracket because they were masked either by the elastomeric ring or the ligature wire. The inner aspect of the distal wing of the bracket was the most suitable line.

Two other lines were drawn; M line was drawn just at the mesial aspect of the upper third of the molar hook, which was attached to the tube. The E line at the end of the archwire was drawn perpendicular to the archwire. All the lines were three-millimeter long. All the measurements were made by one clinician.

When measuring the distances, the end point tab in the AutoCAD software was activated to accurately detect the end of each line. It is an efficient way especially for research or teaching purposes to accurately follow tooth movement during retraction. The most significant advantage of intraoral photographs is saving time. In this study, we did not need to remove the archwire and only minimal adjustments were made whenever required. Although it does not show the accurate position of roots, it is efficient in studying tooth response to orthodontic forces especially at shorter intervals.

CONCLUSION

Intraoral photographs analyzed with AutoCAD software are efficient and economic for evaluation of tooth movement. Based on the current study, this measurement is reliable for evaluation of tooth movement during treatment. Further studies are needed to standardize the photographs.
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