Reconstruction of a Mandibular Defect with Toronto Bridge Following Tumor Resection and Bone Graft: A Case Report
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Dental implants are highly recommended to improve the retention, stability, and support of prostheses in edentulous patients with large surgical defects. Depending on the size of the defect, a bone graft procedure might be necessary. However, due to limitations of bone grafts, some complications might negatively affect the prosthetic rehabilitation of the patient. This case report presents some of these prosthetic problems following surgical resection and autogenous bone graft procedures.
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INTRODUCTION

Surgical treatment of resected cases usually leaves a considerable defect in the jaw that could affect the function, aesthetics, and quality of life of the patients [1]. With the use of implant-supported prostheses after fusion of a bone graft to the remaining jawbone, acceptable aesthetics and function could be predicted [2]. Implant-supported prostheses have shown successful results in patients with reconstructed jaws [2-7]. However, selecting a specific prosthetic design requires the consideration of different aspects such as the crown height space, the relationship between the upper and lower jaws, the number and position of the implants, as well as patient-related factors including the cooperation in oral hygiene and prosthesis maintenance, financial status, and the patient’s demand [8-10]. Implant-supported prostheses can be divided into fixed (cement-retained, screw-retained, and Toronto Bridge) and removable restorations [10,11]. Toronto Bridge is a dual retention prosthesis that includes a screw-retained framework with cement-retained crowns; it is used in cases with increased crown height space [10,11]. Central giant cell granuloma (CGCG) is an uncommon, benign, intraosseous non-odontogenic tumor limited to the head and neck region and is more common in the mandible and in females [12-14]. It is frequently diagnosed in the second or third decades of life [14]. The standard treatment regimen comprises curettage and surgical...
resection followed by a reconstructive procedure, including distraction osteogenesis, tissue engineering, modular endoprosthesis or placing a free bone graft in the mandible for large defects [15,16]. Autogenous bone grafts are still the gold standard for alveolar augmentation. The cumulative survival rate for dental implants is reported to be the same for the native and grafted sites [3-5]. Also, implant-supported prostheses could participate in lowering the rate of bone graft resorption [17]. Extraoral sources are selected for providing greater bulk for jawbone reconstruction; however, they could be associated with considerable resorption during healing [6,7]. The case presented here had a mandibular bone defect due to surgical resection of a CGCG, which was enhanced by an autogenous rib bone graft. The treatment was continued with the placement of dental implants and a fixed implant-supported prosthesis due to the unstable position of the jaw upon movement. Toronto Bridge was selected as the prosthesis of choice because of the increased crown height space.

CASE REPORT

A 59-year-old female with a history of segmental mandibular resection due to a CGCG and bone graft surgery was referred for prosthetic rehabilitation. After one year and a half, the bone graft was displaced and loosened due to the recurrence of the tumor in the symphysis area. Therefore, after shaving the affected bone, the graft was fixated. Following graft fixation and extraction of the mandibular teeth due to being either mobile or unreconstructable, they were replaced with six dental implants (Fig. 1. A to D).

The treatment plan suggesting the use of a mandibular implant-supported overdenture was ruled out because the patient demanded a fixed prosthesis; also, a removable prosthesis could increase the risk of bone graft resorption. Also, the severe right-to-left jaw deviation upon guidance to the centric relation (CR) resulted in severe anterior overjet and posterior crossbite which would have made it impossible to establish a balanced occlusion. Six regular-neck implants (SuperLine II, Dentium Co. Ltd., Seoul, South Korea), 4.8 mm in diameter and 10 mm in height, were placed at the site of teeth #22, #23, #26, #27, #28, and #30.

Showing guarded prognosis, the maxillary left lateral incisor, canine and right first molar were extracted. The other maxillary teeth were saved and treated with root canal therapy, crown lengthening surgery, and computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) temporary restorations (Fig. 2. A and B).

A mandibular complete denture was also made for the patient to make her able to chew during the healing period of dental implants. However, due to the muscle resection, the stability of the CR and regular mandibular movements were compromised. Four months later, the mandibular final impression was made using the splinted open tray technique (Fig. 2. C and D) [18].

After establishing vertical and horizontal jaw relations using a mandibular record base, the Toronto Bridge was chosen due to the excessive crown height space. After try-in of the acrylic resin pattern of the mandibular three-segmented Toronto prosthesis using customized abutments (Metal-Casting Abutment, SuperLine II, Dentium Co. Ltd., Seoul, South Korea), 4.8 mm in diameter and 10 mm in height, were placed at the site of teeth #22, #23, #26, #27, #28, and #30.
South Korea) with a 4.5-mm diameter, casting was performed using base metal alloy. The maxillary and mandibular metal frameworks were tried in the mouth for adaptation (Fig. 3. A).

Fig. 3. (A) Try-in of maxillary metal frameworks. (B) Implant-supported metal frameworks, showing the buccolingual and distal cantilevers. (C) Try-in of metal frameworks. (D) The pink composite used for covering the gingival portion of the metal frameworks.

The left segment inevitably resulted in two cantilevers: first, an anterior cantilever due to the lingual positioning of the implant to achieve an acceptable horizontal overlap, and second, a distal cantilever extending to the second premolar for making occlusal contact with the upper teeth (Fig. 3. B and C). After fabrication of individual metal-ceramic crowns, the gingival part of the framework was covered with a pink laboratory composite (Fig. 3. C and D). After trying the crowns and making the necessary occlusal and proximal adjustments, the metal mesostructure was fastened with a 30-N.cm (Newton Centimeter) torque. The crowns were cemented using a temporary cement [19], and the baseline radiograph was taken (Fig. 4. A and B). Oral hygiene instructions for using Superfloss (Oral-B Inc., Iowa City, IA, USA) and an oral irrigator were given to the patient. Six and 12 months later, the patient was followed-up, and there were no functional or hygienic problems (Fig. 4. C and D).

**DISCUSSION**

The present case suffered from a major mandibular defect following the resection of a CGCG and bone graft surgeries. After neuromuscular impairment due to tumor resection, the patient showed problems in controlling the jaw movement and establishing a stable CR. Therefore, removable conventional dentures could not have been tolerated or functioned satisfactorily.

Also, when an edentulous mandible opposes a dentate maxilla, a single denture, even when supported by dental implants, could hardly be a suitable long-term treatment option. Since dental implants have shown high long-term success and survival rates in reconstructed jaws, implant-supported prostheses are highly recommended [2]. An implant-supported fixed prosthesis is the first treatment choice in resected/reconstructed jaws and could be advantageous for preventing further bone loss (compared to removable prostheses) [20]. According to Aghaloo and Moby [21], the residual bone supporting the implant is a more important factor in implant survival than the grafted bone itself. However, bone graft placement is usually necessary before implant placement to ensure a proper angulation, suitable diameter and length, and a proper number of implants at appropriate sites [6,7]. The condition of the jaws in the present case necessitated the use of a fixed implant-supported prosthesis designed for an excessive crown height space. The increased vertical space could have been the result of bone graft resorption and the 6-month delay between bone graft placement and implant placement procedures [22].

Considering the limitations of bone grafts in completely simulating the shape and curve of the mandibular arch, it was not possible to place the implants at optimal sites (buccolingually and mediolaterally). Implants with inappropriate positions could create aesthetic problems due to the labial position of the screw connection of the abutments. Also, some biomechanical disadvantages could be anticipated due to the presence of cantilevers.
By magnifying the forces applied to the implants, the cantilevers have the potential to possibly jeopardize the long-term success of the implants if not managed properly [24]. Therefore, long-term follow-ups, including clinical and radiographical evaluations, are required to ensure that the bone loss around the implants is controlled.

CONCLUSION
Toronto prosthesis is usually used when there is an excess vertical space. The excessive vertical space might be the result of bone loss after a tumor resection. This case described restoration of an edentulous mandible in a patient with the history of CGCG removal and bone graft surgery. Since the anterior implants were placed lingually, an anterior cantilever was considered to achieve an acceptable horizontal overlap.
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